56.787.78	APPEAL NAMBER
	88-3/48
(5-95) EXAMINE	EXAMINER'S DISPOSITION OF APPEAL
	From:
Clerk, Board of Appeals	Group Art Unit
DUP: Please detach and forward to Board of	GROUP: Please detach and forward to Board of Appeals promptly, but ONLY if the appeal is suspended or no longer pending.
The Examiner has:	
 b. made a new rejection and reopened the prosecution c. other 	se prosecution
2. Maintained the final rejection, but has	stained the final rejection, but has a interference which cannot proceed concurrently with the appeal (Note M.P.E.P. 1205)
b. other	1
3. The approximents from an anomalous	Magnet
(Date signed)	(Signature)

remanants Tuesd

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

mich market et alle et al.

MIDITAND TRADEMARK OFFICE DO NOT REMOVE FROM FOLDER	NUMBER OF REFERENCES 2	ACTION SIGNED BY A. A. GUAL						
FORM PTO-635 (Rev. 6-62) EXAMINATION OUTLINE	NUMBER OF CLAIMS 22	REJECTIONS /02	/03		CONTINUATION-IN-PART	СОИТІИЛАТІОМ	CO-PENDING	BOARD DECISION BY

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

8.5. GR0:1997-417-371/60008

EXAMINER'S ANSWER CHECKLIST

This checklist is designed to prevent unnecessary remands from the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. The list below accounts for the most commonly occurring errors. It should be reviewed dosely before an Examiner's Answer is sent to the Board. Legal Instrument Examiner Checklist Have all the amendments been properly entered? 2. Have all other miscellaneous papers been properly entered? If a Reply Brief has been filed, has it been properly entered and the file given to 3 the Everniner? Examiner Checklist 4 Has (have) the Information Disclosure Statement(s) been reviewed, initialed, and signed? If there is a Reply Brief: Is the Reply Brief limited to arguments and evidence already of record? See MPEP 1208.03. b. Has a letter been mailed indicating that the Reply Brief has been reviewed and made of record? Does the Appendix in the Appeal Brief completely and correctly set forth all the claims 6. presently on appeal? Have all pending claims been treated?

Have all the rejections been discussed in the Answer?

Have all the applicant's arguments been fully addressed?

Does the Answer meet all the procedural requirements of MPEP 1208?

is this application free of any prior remand? If there has been a previous remand, the application should be brought to the attention of the TC Director.

Have all the R 130, 131, and 132 Declarations been considered on the record?

Have the applicants' arguments of unexpected results been fully considered and

If any outstanding rejection is based on a non-English language reference, either alone or in combination, has a full English translation been provided?

Was there an Appeals Conference before this Examiner's Answer was drafted?

Has the Answer been reviewed and initiated by the conferee and the home SPE?

a

10

11.

12.

14.

15.

16

addressed on the record?